



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,238	01/19/2006	Pnina Fishman	FISHMAN19B	9164
1444	7590	01/21/2009	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			SINGH, SATYENDRA K	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			1657	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,238	FISHMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH. (3) ROGER BROWDY (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).
 (2) IRENE MARX (PRIMARY EXAMINER). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of the record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of the record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. Browdy discussed the rejection of record on the pending claims, after the first action on merits. Amendments to claim 15 and dependent claims were discussed in order to clearly present the claimed invention. Applicants may consider amending claims and/or providing arguments pertinent to said rejection of record in order to advance the prosecution of this case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1657